

**REPLY TO PRE BID QUERIES**  
**MINING LEASE FOR CEMENT GRADE LIME STONE**  
**OF**  
**3C1 Block Tehsil Jayal & District Nagaur**  
**Tender No.: MSTC/JPR/Directorate of Mines and Geology Rajasthan, Udaipur/1/Udaipur/**  
**21-22/4872[292503]**









Sl. No.	Bidders Queries	Reply
1	<p>Tender Document Block- 3C-1 Near Villages Deh – Harima, Tehsil-Jayal and Nagaur, District-Nagaur</p> <p>Clause-4.1 (i)</p> <p>The DGPS survey report not provided along with the Tender document &amp; GR.</p> <p>DGPS survey report need to be provided to the LoI holder.</p> <p>The same might be required to be annexed along with Mining Plan.</p>	<p>The demarcation work is done by using DGPS. The DGPS coordinates has been given in Performa IVA &amp; Description report.(Plate no-3)</p>
2	<p>Tender Document Block- 3C-1 Near Villages Deh – Harima, Tehsil-Jayal and Nagaur, District-Nagaur</p> <p>Clause-8.1 (B) (d)</p> <p>Clause-15.6 (g)</p> <p>Schedule-I (E) (3)</p> <p>The affidavit to be submitted by each board of directors of the company as per which rule.</p> <p>The clause regarding submitting affidavit by each Board of Directors of the company may please be removed.</p> <p>The authorised signatory and Power of attorney is already submitting affidavit along with technical bid documents. Hence additional affidavits by each member of board of directors of the company may not be required considering the current pandemic situation wherein</p>	<p>Only the preferred bidder has to submit the affidavit of all directors in the-format specified in Schedule-1(E)(3) within the stipulated time.</p> <p>Affidavit by all the directors of company are necessary to observe compliance of Section 6(3) of MMDR Act, 1957.</p> <p>Affidavit in format specified in Schedule-1(E)(2) is to be given with technical bid.</p>















	most of the offices are working remotely.	
3	<p>Tender Document Block- 3C-1 Near Villages Deh – Harima, Tehsil-Jayal and Nagaur, District-Nagaur</p> <p>Clause-12</p> <p>No pre-bid meeting proposed in the Timeline</p> <p>Pre-bid meeting may please be conducted.</p> <p>Pre-bid meetings are helpful to clarify doubts regarding the auction process and about the mineral block put under auction.</p>	Presently the queries are being sorted out by uploading their replies on department as well as MSTC website. The aim of conducting Prebid meeting is to clarify the doubts of the Prospective bidders.
4	<p>Tender Document Block- 3C-1 Near Villages Deh – Harima, Tehsil-Jayal and Nagaur, District-Nagaur</p> <p>Clause-12</p> <p>Only 6 months' time provided to submit Performance Security and mining plan along with second instalment of Upfront Payment by the Preferred Bidder from the date of grant of LoI</p> <p>The time period may please be increased to 12 months.</p> <p>Due to COVID-19 pandemic expecting lockdowns, travel restrictions and completion of field works like updation of surface plan, preparation of Mining Plan etc. may take more time than usual one.</p>	Tender Condition Prevails.
5	<p>Tender Document Block- 3C-1 Near Villages Deh – Harima, Tehsil-Jayal and Nagaur, District-Nagaur</p> <p>Clause-14.1.1 (b) Schedule-I (C) (1) (d)</p> <p>Note: - Bank guarantee shall be made on stamp paper of worth Rs. 25,000/- or as prescribed under the relevant rules.</p> <p>It should be left to the decision of the Bank issuing Bid security in the</p>	The stamp duty is being charged as per Rajasthan Stamp Act, 1998


















	form of Bank Guarantee.							
	Earlier no such conditions have been imposed by any State Govt. till date.							
6	<p>Tender Document-Reporting of Mineral Resources of limestone in Block- 3C-1</p> <p>8 (a) - Borehole location established by using GPS as details indicated at point no. 10(a).</p> <p>Same co-ordinates for 3 boreholes i.e. HRB-31, 33 &amp; 34.</p> <p>The accurate co-ordinates of boreholes HRB-31, 33 &amp; 34 may please be provided.</p> <p>Without the co-ordinates the location of the boreholes can't be delineated and therefore mineral evidence may not be established in the drilled region.</p>	<p>The accurate co-ordinates of boreholes HRB-31, 33 &amp; 34 –</p> <table><tr><td>HRB-31</td><td>N27°16'45.0" E73°51'50.8"</td></tr><tr><td>HRB-33</td><td>N27°16'58.74" E73°51'42.57"</td></tr><tr><td>HRB-34</td><td>N27°16'56.33" E73°51'58.60"</td></tr></table>	HRB-31	N27°16'45.0" E73°51'50.8"	HRB-33	N27°16'58.74" E73°51'42.57"	HRB-34	N27°16'56.33" E73°51'58.60"
HRB-31	N27°16'45.0" E73°51'50.8"							
HRB-33	N27°16'58.74" E73°51'42.57"							
HRB-34	N27°16'56.33" E73°51'58.60"							
7	<p>Tender Document-Reporting of Mineral Resources of limestone in Block- 3C-1</p> <p>8 (b) - Quality and adequacy of topographic control.</p> <p>Topographic Plan not provided</p> <p>The topography of the area may please be provided along with detailed Geological Plan of the block.</p> <p>In absence of topography of the area, the statement "Topographically Controlled" mentioned in the tender document is contradicting. Geological Plan with topography or contours along with existing surface features is a necessity to evaluate the safety barriers and pre-feasibility resources.</p>	<p>The Toposheet (45E/15) contains all topographical feature such as contour &amp; surface features. RGM (Regional geological Mapping) map is provided along with report.</p>						









8	<p>Tender Document-Reporting of Mineral Resources of limestone in Block- 3C-1</p> <p>15 (e) (viii) – The resource has been assessed excluding resources falling in NH-65 and Nadi</p> <p>The consideration of 50m. strip from center of NH-65 has been mentioned but no details of safety barrier from Nadi has been provided.</p> <p>Resources have to be furnished considering 50 M safety barrier from the boundary of NH and not from the centre as per the statutory norms.</p> <p>How much safety barrier from Nadi has been considered for estimation of resources may please be provided.</p> <p>As per DGMS, 50M safety barrier has to be left from the boundary and not from the centre.</p> <p>For assessment of Mineable reserves of the block.</p>	<p>The resources falling in Khasra of Nadi has already been deducted from total resources.</p> <p>Its criteria of safety Barrier is 45m from boundary of Highway on either side considering 10m width of highway. The resources falling in 100m strip along with Highway has already been deducted from total resources.</p> <p>The whole resources falling in Khasra of Nadi has already been deducted from total resources.</p>
9	<p>Tender Document-Summary of the Mineral Block-3C1, Part A – General Information About Mineral Block</p> <p>7- Hydrography- No river originated or flows from this part of investigated area.</p> <p>Under the heading of Reporting of Mineral Resources of limestone in Block- 3C-1 at point no 15 (e) (viii) it is mentioned that "The resource has been assessed excluding resources falling in NH-65 and Nadi" which is in contradiction to the statement that "No river originated or flows from this part of investigated area"</p> <p>Accurate information needs to be provided, which is mandatory of resource estimation as well as environmental sensitivity study for Environmental Clearance.</p> <p>For assessment of Mineable reserves of the block as well as environmental sensitivity study for Environmental Clearance.</p>	<p>The 'Nadi' word is use for Talab (pond) which is manmade structure for storing rainy water for drinking purposes. It's not linked with any kind of river.</p>



<p><b>10</b></p>	<p>Tender Document-Exploration Report of Limestone Block- 3C-1 Near Villages Deh – Harima, Tehsil-Jayal and Nagaur, District-Nagaur</p> <p>Annexure-I and Annexure-II</p> <p>The recovery% of core has been considered as 100% in Annexure-I i.e. for resources estimation whereas as per Summarised core log (Annexure-II) the recovery% is 37.39%.</p> <p>The recovery percentage should be considered during estimation of Resources.</p> <p>Huge difference in actual vs estimated resources if recovery percentage is considered as the core recovery is 37.39%, which is generally unacceptable for tabular sedimentary deposit. The poor recovery will not only impact the quantum of Limestone resources, but will also dilute the overall quality of the deposit and may deceive a project proponent after investing.</p>	<p>The geological resources has been calculated considering 100% core recovery. The core recovery may be less due to mechanical reasons within the department drilling machines. Poor core recovery cannot be tantamount to reduction in quantity of mineral resources. The core recovery is more than 95% in East of the block which was done by contractual drilling.</p>
<p><b>11</b></p>	<p>Tender Document-Exploration Report of Limestone Block- 3C-1 Near Villages Deh – Harima, Tehsil-Jayal and Nagaur, District-Nagaur</p> <p>Plate-1 Key Plan of Block 3C1 and Plate-1 Map showing location of Block 3C1</p> <p>Both the maps seems different.</p> <p>Corrected map may please be provided.</p>	<p>Plate-2 Location Plan of Block 3C1 is considered as corrected map.</p>
<p><b>12</b></p>	<p>Tender Document-Exploration Report of Limestone Block- 3C-1 Near Villages Deh – Harima, Tehsil-Jayal and Nagaur, District-Nagaur</p> <p>Geological Plan</p> <p>Surface Geological Plan showing boreholes, contours, outcrops etc. not provided.</p> <p>The surface geological plan may please be provided.</p> <p>To evaluate the limestone block, resources etc.</p>	<p>Borehole location plan along with RGM (Regional geological mapping) map are already provided with report.</p>

<p><b>13</b></p>	<p>Tender Document-Exploration Report of Limestone Block- 3C-1 Near Villages Deh - Harima, Tehsil-Jayal and Nagaur, District-Nagaur</p> <p>Annexure-III (Borehole Wise Chemical Analysis)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. Repeated values of CaO% &amp; MgO% in borehole HRB-45.</li> <li>ii. Missing values of Ins.%, Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>% and Fe% in some of the boreholes like HRB-22 &amp; HRB-29.</li> <li>iii. Sum total of assay values of all the analysed radicals is more than 100% in some boreholes like HRB-28,HRB-35,HRB-36,HRB-46,HRB-47.</li> <li>v. In borehole no. HRB-22 from 31.5m. to 50m. of drill length only 1.15m. core has been recovered wherein 6nos. of samples have been prepared and analysed from the recovered 1.15m. core and chemical assay value provided which seems erroneous.</li> </ul> <p>As per Annexure-II, the drilled depth of the Borehole no. HRB-47 is 31m. whereas litho-log till 30m. has been given and Chemical analysis till 31m. provided.</p> <p>Corrected Borehole Log and Borehole Wise Chemical Analysis need to be provided.</p> <p>For truthful estimation of resources &amp; reserves.</p>	<p>Repeated values of different radicals is due to alternate bands of lithounits.</p> <p>The chemical analysis results are attached as received from Central chemical lab, Udaipur.</p> <p>The Dolomitic limestone is recovered as 1.15m length. Other than this, the Argillaceous clay is also recovered which is result of alternate band of chert and dolomitic clay. The samples of dolomitic clay were also analysed.</p> <p>The drilled depth of the Borehole no. HRB-47 is 31m. In lithlog, the Run 29.00 to 30.00m is to be rectified as 29.00m to 31.00m (2.00m).</p>
<p><b>14</b></p>	<p>Tender Document-Exploration Report of Limestone Block- 3C-1 Near Villages Deh - Harima, Tehsil-Jayal and Nagaur, District-Nagaur</p> <p>Plates</p> <p>Surface Geological plan with existing surface features not provided in the Exploration report.</p> <p>Surface Geological Plan need to be provided.</p> <p>Surface Geological Plan is the basic requirement to evaluate about the area, delineate various safety barriers, estimate resources &amp; reserves.</p>	<p>Borehole location plan along with RGM (Regional geological mapping) map are already provided with report.</p>

15	<p>Tender Document <b>Eligibility</b> In case a Bidder is subsidiary of another company, is both holding company and the subsidiary company can participate simultaneously for the Block?</p> <p>Clarification should be given in this regard as Tender Document is silent in this regard.</p>	<p>Anyone who has purchased the tender document can participate in the auction of that block.</p>
16	<p>Tender Document <b>Eligibility</b> Can Bidder and its group company both can bid simultaneously for the Block?</p> <p>Group Company means a Company in which that other Company has a significant influence, but which is not a subsidiary Company of the Company having such influence. "significant influence" means control of at least twenty per cent of total share capital, or of business decisions under an agreement.</p> <p>Clarification should be given in this regard as Tender Document is silent in this regard.</p>	<p>Please refer to reply mentioned at serial no. 15</p>
17	<p><b>Tender Document Clause 2</b></p> <p>Definitions Proper Definition of Tender Process need to be given in Para 2 Definitions of Tender Document. Word <b>Tender Process</b> has been several time used in the Tender Document, but it is not clear up to which stage it is applicable i.e. up to declaration of preferred bidder or up to grant of mining lease?</p> <p>Definition of Tender Process should be clearly given in <b>Para 2 definition</b> in Tender Document mentioning up to what stage</p>	<p>It is understood that the tender process is upto execution of lease deed which includes registration of lease.</p>



	Tender Process is applicable.	
18	<b>Tender Document</b> <b>Clause 9</b> Reserve Price What is basis for arriving the exact figure of 26.50% for reserve price, while it is first attempt of auction?	There is no such thing mentioned in the tender document.
19	<b>Tender Document</b> <b>Clause 13.2 Periodic Payments &amp; Clause 7.1.1 of MDPA-Monthly Payments</b> Holder of mining lease shall make monthly payments with respect to the value of Mineral Dispatched or the minimum production requirement as specified in MDPA, <u>whichever is higher.</u> Minimum production requirement as listed in schedule E of MDPA is for minimum <b>annual</b> production to be achieved every year as per clause 8 schedule E of MDPA. Minimum production requirement is fixed on annual basis giving the valid flexibility to Successful Bidder for monthly fluctuation in production. So monthly payment on basis of annual production requirement is not justified & not as per terms of Tender Document/Auction Rules.	As per Rule 13(2) of Mineral (Auction) Rules , the same has to be charged on monthly basis.
20	<b>Tender Document</b> <b>Clause 14.1 (g)</b> Each and every document of technical bid (wherever necessary) must have the seal of company with signature of authorized signatory on it. It should be specifically mentioned in Tender Document that which documents need sign of authorized signatory rather than giving ambiguous word as <b>wherever necessary.</b> Does Sign of Authorized Signatory is needed on Board Resolution/ Affidavits etc. When it is clearly mentioned that Director of Company should sign exactly on which documents then documents to be signed by Authorized signatory should also be clearly mentioned.	Seal and Signature of authorised signatory are needed on photocopies only. Original documents and Bank Guarantee need not to be signed separately.

























21	<p>Tender Document <b>Clause 14.1.1(g)</b></p> <p>Each &amp; every document of technical Bid (Wherever necessary) must have seal of company with signature of authorised signatory on it.  <b>“(Wherever necessary)”</b> should be clarified.</p> <p>Is it includes Bank Guarantee and other original documents or only required for the documents which are submitted in photocopy?</p> <p>Ambiguity regarding <b>“(Wherever necessary)”</b> should be clarified and as list of documents of technical Bid is clearly specified, it should also be specified that clause 14.1.1(g) is applicable on which of these specified documents.</p>	Please refer to reply mentioned at serial no. 20
22	<p>Tender Document <b>Clause 15.4</b></p> <p>Successful Bidder’s Bid Security will be returned.  The Auction rules does not require payment of 2<sup>nd</sup> installment of upfront payment &amp; Performance security with six month of declaration as preferred Bidders.</p> <p>Performance Security &amp; 2<sup>nd</sup> installment of upfront payment need to be submitted by Preferred Bidder before declaration as Successful Bidder as per clause 10.2 of Tender Document as well as per rule 10(3) of Auction rules. The present Time table require Performance Security &amp; 2<sup>nd</sup> installment of upfront payment within 6 months of grant of LOI while till completion of terms of LOI i.e. 3 years from grant of LOI, preferred bidder does not become Successful Bidder.</p> <p>Performance Security should be required before declaration of Successful Bidder.</p> <p>More ever as per rule 12(2) of Auction Rules, the Performance Security can only be invoked as per provision of MDPA &amp; Mining Lease deed. There is no existence of MDPA &amp; Lease deed within 6 month of grant of LOI.</p>	Tender Condition Prevails.

	<p>The requirement of Performance Security within 6 months of grant of LOI is not as per provisions of Mineral Auction Rules, 2015.</p> <p>Performance Security &amp; 2<sup>nd</sup> installment of upfront payment should only be required before declaration as Successful Bidder.</p> <p>Necessary extension of Bid Security may be done till submission of Performance Security as per Clause 15.5 of Tender Document. The same process of Bid security extension up to LOI period has been adopted by Gujarat Government in recent Limestone Block auction and the same practice should be adopted in this auction also.</p>	
23	<p><b>Tender Document</b></p> <p><b>Clause 15.6(f)</b></p> <p>Bid Security to be forfeited If the successful bidder fails to comply the conditions of Letter of Intent (LoI) within the prescribed time mentioned in the LoI.</p> <p>Clause 15.6(f) has provision of forfeiture of Bid Security of successful bidder while as per Clause 15.4, Bid Security will be returned after furnishing Performance Security.</p> <p>How bid security can be forfeited for noncompliance of LOI terms when it has been returned after submission of performance security.</p>	As per tender document condition.
24	<p><b>Tender Document</b></p> <p><b>Schedule I (E)(2)</b></p> <p>Affidavit to be given by all the director of Company</p> <p>For a Public Limited company, affidavit from authorized signatory having POA is sufficient &amp; Affidavit from all the directors is not justified resulting in unnecessary formalities.</p>	Please refer to reply mentioned at serial no. 2



25	<p>Tender Document  <b>Schedule I (B)(2)</b>  Net Worth &amp; turn Over Certificates should be issued by Statutory  Whether <b>Digital signature</b> of Statutory Auditors on Net Worth &amp; turn Over Certificates is permitted instead of physical signature?  <b>Digital signature</b> of Statutory Auditors on Net Worth &amp; turn Over Certificates should be allowed.  Digital signature are also legally valid as physical signature Now a Days.</p>	Same will be allowed.
26	<p><b>Tender Document</b>  <b>Prospecting Charges:</b>  Whether the prospecting charges to be paid by the preferred bidder?  If yes then under which provision of rules, the prospecting charges will be made applicable to be paid by preferred bidder, please clarify.  As per the existing Laws there is no provision of charging of prospecting expenditure from preferred bidder. Other state governments are also not charging the prospecting expenditure from preferred bidder?</p>	Same will not be charged by the Department.
27	<p><b>Tender Document</b>  <b>General</b>  Due to discrepancy in land data in earlier auctioned block, there has been inordinate delay for getting amended EC, Mining Plan and other statutory permissions.  Whether due to any errors which is not on the part of project proponent, relaxation in MDPA penalty will be given to Project Proponent by State Government?</p>	There may not be any discrepancy in the land data. A certificate from concerned tehsildar regarding land classification has already been obtained and is annexed with the tender document.

28	<p>Tender Document</p> <p><b>Invoice against Document Fees</b></p> <p>Proper Invoice for should be Rs. 2,00,000 provided having all the details such as GST etc. to the bidders on time.</p> <p>It has observed that despite several follow up, State Government does not provide Invoice to Bidders on time. Some specific time limit should be fixed for providing Invoice to Bidders.</p>	<p>The prospective bidders are procuring the tender documents directly from MSTC website. M/s MSTC may be requested for providing the invoice.</p>
29	<p>Tender Document</p> <p><b>Para E(2)(c)Format of Affidavit</b></p> <p>Affidavit to be submitted by all the directors of a Company individually</p> <p>This requirement of separate affidavit from each director should not be there.</p> <p>Already proper power of attorney through a Board Resolution by Board of Directors is to be given to authorised signatory and affidavit given by him is sufficient.</p> <p>In the various auction conducted by different State Government, Affidavit, is required only from Authorised Signatory and not from each director of Company.</p> <p>The requirement of affidavit from each of director of company will unnecessary lengthens the time and formality required for preparation of Technical Bid and hence should be avoided.</p>	<p>Please refer to reply mentioned at serial no. 2</p>

**Para E(2)(c) Format of Affidavit**

Affidavit to be submitted by all the directors of a Company individually

(i) The requirement of Affidavit to be submitted by all the directors of a Company individually is applicable only in case of Private Limited Company. It is not applicable in Public Limited Company. Please Clarify?

(ii) The requirement of affidavit by each director of company is neither in the standard model tender document nor is practiced by auction of mineral block by other state government. Why this specific condition has been imposed in this Tender Document?

(iii) In case of public limited company (more particularly a listed company), affidavit from individual director should not be insisted. As the lease will be held by the Bidder Company, which has a separate identity. Please Clarify?

(iv) In a public limited company, the directors are not of permanent nature. Therefore there is no relevance/significance of requirement of affidavit from each director of company. Please clarify?









(v) If some of Directors of Bidders company are presently out of India and will not be available till Bid Due Date, is it possible to provide Affidavit after Bid Due Date ? Meanwhile a Undertaking in this regard may be given?

Affidavit from Authorised signatory will fulfill the purpose of Technical Bid and there is no requirement of affidavit by each director of company. Till date all the auction conducted by various state government under the mineral auction rules, 2015, there is no such requirement of affidavit by each director and same should be applied in this auction also.

Please refer to reply mentioned at serial no. 2



31	<p><b>MDPA</b> <b>Schedule E</b> Minimum Production Requirement (% of yearly production as per approved Mining Plan) As no end use has defined for the Block, does mining plan of any capacity for as per business requirement of Bidder may be made. And further due to change in business environment, can mining plan may be modified for lower production capacity later on. In case of reduction in production proposal, Indian Bureau of Mines seeks NOC from State Government. Will state government provide NOC for reduction in initial production proposals for change in business environment ? Bidder should have freedom to propose any production as per his business requirement.</p>	Yearly production mining plan and revised mining plan if any, shall be as per approving authority i.e. IBM. Appropriate decision will be taken by the State at that point of time.
32	<p><b>MDPA</b> <b>Schedule E</b> Minimum Production Requirement (% of yearly production as per approved Mining Plan) In MDPA 3rd year 30% minimum production requirement is given. Does it imply that some production need to be given in mining plan for the 3<sup>rd</sup> year also?</p>	Yes

33

MDPA

**Schedule E- Production Requirement Year(since commencement of mining lease)**

The term "Year(since commencement of mining lease)" is not clear. Is it for the financial year in which mining lease have been registered or year calculated from registration of mining lease.


Since the minimum production requirement is linked with mining plan and in the mining plan term year means financial year starting from 01<sup>st</sup> April to next year 31<sup>st</sup> March. The production proposal in mining plan is given well before commencement of mining lease.

If the term 'year' in MDPA is for financial year and mining lease is commenced on 15 March of particular year, the initial 2 year 0% penalty period is effective for 1 year & 15 days only which is not justified.

The term year should be clarified in Schedule E and it should be calculated from date of commencement of mining lease and not as financial year for providing full two years of 0% penalty period.

Year should be calculated from commencement of mining lease and should not be linked with financial year. It should be clearly specified in Schedule E of MDPA.\*

The date will be calculated from the Registration of Mining Lease Deed not from financial year.









A series of handwritten signatures and initials in blue ink, including a stylized 'h', 'Bany', 'm', a circled 'P', 'A', 'G', and 'Gof'.

34	<p>Performa IV A Reporting of Mineral Reserve <b>Para 5(d)</b></p> <p><b>Cross section at suitable interval</b> Cross section at suitable interval is not provided. Only one no. of cross section and one of Longitudinal section has been provided.</p> <p>Since the boreholes are drilled at 400 meter interval, proper cross section at 400 meter interval should be provided. Cross section at suitable interval is required for proper reporting under the Mineral Evidence rules, 2015.</p>	<p>Deposit is sedimentary tabular deposit, having very less amount of Dip, so one cross section and one of Longitudinal section and core logs of borehole is sufficient for nature of deposit</p>
35	<p>Performa IV A Reporting of Mineral Reserve <b>Para 11(e)</b></p> <p><b>Core arranged in core boxes</b> The core arranged in core boxes should be provided to Successful Bidder for future reference</p>	<p>The cores have been splitted for sample analysis. The core samples are available at Suptdg. Geologist Jodhpur office.</p>
36	<p>Performa IV A Reporting of Mineral Reserve <b>Para 12(c)</b></p> <p><b>5% Check samples analyzed</b> Details of this 5% of check samples analyzed should be provided to the successful Bidder. Even though there may be no deviation in values in these check samples, as MEMEC Rules, 2015 detils of these check samples is required</p>	<p>Samples were rechecked at the time of analysis of all core samples on central lab level. The results of cross check samples were not found different from the original sample analysis reports. Therefore, they have not been reported separately in the GR.</p>
37	<p>Exploration Report Chemical Analysis results of core samples Minor constituents as <math>K_2O</math>, <math>Na_2O</math> etc. in the chemical analysis is missing in chemical analysis results</p>	<p>The samples for Minor constituents as <math>K_2O</math>, <math>Na_2O</math> etc has not been analysed .</p>



38	<p>Exploration Report Revenue Map</p> <p>The revenue map is not authenticated by concern revenue officials, without authentication it has no relevance Proper authenticated revenue map should be provided.</p>	<p>The revenue map authenticated map by concerned Person is available at Tehsildar office and senior geologist, Nagaur office. It will be provided to Preferred bidder if he requires.</p>
39	<p>Exploration Report Maps &amp; Section</p> <p>Maps &amp; sections enclosed with Report is not signed by person prepare the Map. While preparing mining Plan signed copy of plan &amp; section is required. Signed copy of Plan &amp; Section should be enclosed.</p>	<p>The signed map &amp; sections by concerned Person is available at senior geologist, Nagaur office. It will be provided to Preferred bidder if he requires.</p>
40	<p><b>Geological Report</b> Land Details &amp; Plan Showing superimposed Khasra map giving land type Land type of Khasra No. 659 of village Bhadana is shown as <b>Pal</b> while Khasra No. 660 of village Bhadana is shown as <b>Nadi</b></p> <p>Please clarify that whether mining operation will be permitted or not on this Khasra as per prevailing laws? All the relevant circulars of the state government and Judgement or order of Courts regarding mining at nadi/ Pal.</p>	<p>The resources falling in these Khasra has already been deducted from total resources.</p>
41	<p><b>Tender Document &amp; Geological Report</b> <b>Tender Document Clause 4.4 &amp; Summary of Mineral Block Part C</b></p> <p><b>Total Area of Block &amp; Government Private Land Details</b> As per the Tender Document clause 4.4, the notified block area is <b>300.7284 ha</b> while it also mention that block area as per revenue record is <b>300.7384 ha</b>.</p> <p>Further the sum of Private Land (264.0431 ha) &amp; Government land (36.6953 Ha) is also not matching with actual total block area i.e. <b>300.7284 Ha</b>.</p>	<p>The variation in the total block area (386.0215 hectare) and calculated by superimposition of block on revenue record (382.6343 hectare) is due to partial Khasra falling in the block and also due to differences of area in digitized settlement sheet and revenue record.</p> <p>The total area of block has been calculated based on the area falling within the coordinates but when superimposed on revenue map, slightly it may differ being both on different projections, one is spherical and other one is linear.</p> <p>The cadastral Khasra detail of the block verified by concerned Patwari &amp; Tehsildar is enclosed with the tender document.</p>

	Any discrepancy in total block area and private & government land falling in it is not permissible in Mining Plan & EC related Document. The discrepancy of 0.01 ha in Actual notified block area & revenue record should be rectified & corrected.	
42	Schedule D Section 10 B End use No end use restriction as per the MMDR Amendment bill 2021. Bill provides that captive mines (other than atomic minerals) may sell up to 50% of their annual mineral production in the open market after meeting their own needs. The lessee will have to pay additional charges for mineral sold in the open market. The central government may further increase the threshold through notification Can bidder sell/trade 50% of the production after meeting our captive requirement of limestone on payment of charges ?	Since no end use is specified for the limestone in the block, bidder can use limestone as deemed fit as per prevailing rules.
43	Schedule D Section 10 B End use Can bidder sell/trade 100% of limestone from its captive leases ?	Please refer to reply mentioned at serial no. 42.
44	Clause 8.1. Production requirement Is the bidder having flexibility to define mine plan capacity? Under capacity can also be planned . ? This will enable the bidder to plan its mining and associated plant capacity.	Yearly production mining plan and revised mining plan if any, shall be as per approving authority i.e. IBM.
45	Clause 15.1 Bid security The calculation of Bid security (.25% of VOER), upfront payments and performance security is not matching with the amount given in TD.	Please refer to Addendum provided to you



46	<p>Geological Report Core recovery Core Recovery within Bore Holes drilled is reported to be low (37 to 40%). What impact of core recovery has been considered on assessment of resources and its quality? Core recovery forms the most important component of drilling campaign. Poor core recovery leads to a big mismatch in predicted and extracted quality and reserves.</p>	Please refer to reply mentioned at serial no. 10
47	<p>Geological Report Resources Since calculation of the Resources used by Polygon method , Please confirm whether the 7.5 barrier from lease and 45 meter on either side of the highway/road is taken into account while calculating resources.</p>	<p>Its criteria of safety Barrier is 45m from boundary of Highway on either side considering 10m width of highway. The resources falling in 100m strip along with Highway has already been deducted from total resources. The calculated resources are total geological resources, not minable resources.</p>
48	<p>Information Memorandum General Will the Government ensure that the Government land is encroachment free and hand over the land to the successful bidder after removal of encroachments, if any</p>	<p>Encroachments will be removed by revenue department as per their rules. The department will provide support to the extent possible</p>
49	<p>Infrastructure and Environment General The NH-65 Jodhpur- Nagaur –Hisar passes through the area (3C2 Nagaur block).How Govt. help us for State highway diversion.</p>	Govt. will help to the extent possible.
50	<p>Geological Report General There is no land for waste dump since entire area is mineralised. ? However the deposit is comprises around 50 % of waste.</p>	Waste dumps can be back-filled in the mined out area/pits specially where limestone bed is very thin.



51	Geological Report General If , Rajasthan State has notified these blocks for Green field project then where is the place to put Cement Plant ?	Since no end use is specified, bidder can set required facility as deemed fit.
52	Geological Report General Since the blocks are adjacent to others MLs , will be there any administrative / logistic/ strategic challenges in future ? Or any statutory issues will be involved if mineral blocks share their boundaries.	There will be no statutory issues.

